COMPLEX TRAITS AND DELETERIOUS ALLELES IN HUMAN POPULATIONS

Evolution, Winter 2017

Can we discover the genetic basis of heritable traits?



Twin studies revealed that many phenotypes have a genetic component

- □ Height: 0.68-0.93
- □ Fasting blood glucose: 0.38-0.66
- \square RNA expression levels: Most genes > 0.1 and < 0.5



Silventoinen et al 2003 (Twin Res), Wright et al 2014 (Nature Genetics) Simonis-Bik et al 2008 (Twin Res Hum Genet), Polderman et al 2015 (Nature Genetics)

Hypothesis: common-phenotype, common-variant

□ Suppose a trait is relatively common (e.g., asthma)



Hypothesis: common-phenotype, common-variant

Suppose a trait is relatively common (e.g., asthma)



ATGCTATCCTATCAAACTATCTA

ATGCTATCCTATCAAAGTATCTA -> G is a risk allele

Hypothesis: common-phenotype, common-variant

Suppose a trait is relatively common (e.g., asthma)

Suppose the trait has a genetic component ATGCTATCCTATCAAACTATCTA ATGCTATCCTATCAAAGTATCTA -> G is a risk allele

"Reasonable" hypothesis: If a hereditary trait is common, its genetic basis must also be common







| $\texttt{IND.} \rightarrow$ | i1 | i2 | ••• | i100 |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| SNP | A/A | A/T | ••• | A/T |
| \downarrow | G/T | T/T | | G/G |
| | A/T | A/A | | A/A |
| | A/C | C/C | | A/C |
| | T/C | T/T | | T/C |
| | G/G | A/A | ••• | G/A |









Number of non-reference alleles

Many loci that contribute to trait variation have been discovered with GWAS



Obtained from http://www.ebi.ac.uk/fgpt/gwas/

But do known associations explain variance in traits?



"Missing" heritability - calculating variance accounted for by GWAS



Suppose k variants are found to be associated with VIP...

Contribution from each SNP

$$v = \frac{1}{2}z^2x(1-x)$$

Total variance from GWAS

$$V_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{gwas}}(P) = \sum_k v_k$$

Compare to heritability

$$V_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{gwas}}(P) \stackrel{?}{=} h^2 imes V(P)$$

"Missing" heritability - calculating variance accounted for by GWAS



Suppose k variants are found to be associated with VIP...

Contribution from each SNP

$$v = \frac{1}{2}z^2x(1-x)$$

Total variance from GWAS

$$V_{\rm gwas}(P) = \sum_k v_k$$

Compare to heritability

$$V_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{gwas}}(P) < h^2 imes V(P)$$

Potential sources of "missing" heritability

- Bias in heritability estimates
- Interactions (between groups of genes, or genes and the environment)
- Common variants of small effect
- Structural variants
- Rare variants of large effect

Potential sources of "missing" heritability

- Bias in heritability estimates
- Interactions (between groups of genes, or genes and the environment)
- Common variants of small effect
- Structural variants
- Rare variants of large effect

$$v = \frac{1}{2}z^2x(1-x)$$

Why might we expect rare alleles to matter for some phenotypes?



King et al 2010 (Plos Genet)

But it's hard to detect causal rare alleles...



But it's hard to detect causal rare alleles...



We will...

- Consider whether rare alleles (as a group) can ever contribute to complex trait variation
- Introduce recent literature on deleterious allele frequencies and "load" in humans
- Discuss whether there is empirical evidence for differences in "deleterious allele load" between human populations
- Summarize current thinking on contribution (or lack thereof) of rare alleles to complex traits

Quick refresher on evolutionary "forces"

Mutation -> random introduction of new alleles

Drift -> allele frequencies can randomly change over time

□ Selection -> biases changes in allele frequency

- □ Suppose we sequence 5 diploid individuals
- For each variable site we observe, there can be from 1 to 9 copies of each derived allele in the population



derived allele count

- □ Suppose we sequence 5 diploid individuals
- For each variable site we observe, there can be from 1 to 9 copies of each derived allele in the population



derived allele count

- □ Suppose we sequence 5 diploid individuals
- For each variable site we observe, there can be from 1 to 9 copies of each derived allele in the population



derived allele count

- □ Suppose we sequence 5 diploid individuals
- For each variable site we observe, there can be from 1 to 9 copies of each derived allele in the population



Common-disease common-variant... or not?



Pritchard & Cox 2002 (Hum. Mol. Genet.) Pritchard 2001 (AJHG)

- Approach: compare site frequency spectra at NS and SYN sites, under assumption that SYN sites are neutral
- □ Complication: population sizes also affects frequency spectrum

Drift runs faster in smaller population More mutations introduced per unit of time in larger population **Population B Population A**

- Time-dependence of demography/selection in Wright-Fisher model is not a solved problem
- Algorithmic approaches were developed to numerically compute the SFS as a function of time



time

Williamson et al 2005 (PNAS) Boyko et al 2008 (Plos Genetics) Keightley & Eyre-Walker 2007 (Genetics)



Boyko et al 2008 (Plos Genetics)

Reds<-0.01</th>Brown-0.01s<-0.001</td>Yellow-0.001s< -0.0001</td>Etc...



Boyko et al 2008 (Plos Genetics)

 Red
 s<-0.01</td>

 Brown
 -0.01 < s <-0.001</td>

 Yellow
 -0.001 < s <-0.0001</td>

 Etc...

001 new 1% 2% 5% 10% 25% 50% 75% fixed Derived allele frequency

Consequently, it is reasonable to suppose that correlations between effect size and allele frequency may exist and increase the role of rare alleles in complex traits

Boyko et al 2008 (Plos Genetics)

Requirements for a rare allele contribution

Trait is heritable

Large mutation rate for causal alleles (i.e., many loci genome wide that alter the trait)

Coupling between allelic selection strength and effect on trait

- Common alleles: almost entirely shared across human populations
- Rare alleles: less likely to be true
- Reasonable hypothesis: demographic events have altered deleterious allele frequencies in human populations relative to each other

- Common alleles: almost entirely shared across human populations
- Rare alleles: less likely to be true
- Reasonable hypothesis: demographic events have altered deleterious allele frequencies in human populations relative to each other





Compare proportion of private alleles in each population





$$F_{priv}(1) > F_{priv}(2) ?$$

$$F_{priv}(1) = F_{priv}(2) ?$$

$$F_{priv}(1) < F_{priv}(2) ?$$

1012

 $(1) \ge E$

E

- Common alleles: almost entirely shared across human populations
- Rare alleles: less likely to be true
- Reasonable hypothesis: demographic events have altered deleterious allele frequencies in human populations relative to each other
 - Sequence 2 human populations with different ancestral histories

- Classify damaging (NS) alleles as private or shared
- Compare proportion of private alleles in each population









- Common alleles: almost entirely shared across human populations
- Rare alleles: less likely to be true
- Reasonable hypothesis: demographic events have altered deleterious allele frequencies in human populations relative to each other

| Category | Shared | Private AA | Private EA | Mean derived frequency | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | | | | AA* | EA† |
| Synonymous Non-synonymous | 8,056 (58.3%) 5,771 (41.7%) | 8,958 (53.0%) 7,950 (47.0%) | 3,879 (44.6%) 4,826 (55.4%) | 0.211 0.174 | 0.266 0.202 |

Table 1 | Distribution of Applera SNPs by population and functional class

- Common alleles: almost entirely shared across human populations
- Rare alleles: less likely to be true
- Reasonable hypothesis: demographic events have altered deleterious allele frequencies in human populations relative to each other

| Category | Shared | Private AA | Private EA | Mean derived | Mean derived frequency | |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | | AA* | EA† | |
| Synonymous | 8,056 (58.3%) | 8,958 (53.0%) | 3,879 (44.6%) | 0.211 | 0.266 | |
| Non-synonymous | 5,771 (41.7%) | 7,950 (47.0%) | 4,826 (55.4%) | 0.174 | 0.202 | |

Table 1 Distribution of Applera SNPs by population and functional class

"Our finding that each person carries several hundred potentially damaging SNPs indicates that large-scale medical resequencing will be useful to find common and rare SNPs of medical consequence"

How can we explain this pattern?



time

How can we explain this pattern?



- □ Load: average number of deleterious alleles per person
- Do Lohmueller et al's results imply a difference in load?

- □ Load: average number of deleterious alleles per person
- Do Lohmueller et al's results imply a difference in load?



- □ Load: average number of deleterious alleles per person
- Do Lohmueller et al's results imply a difference in load?



- □ Load: average number of deleterious alleles per person
- Do Lohmueller et al's results imply a difference in load?



- □ Load: average number of deleterious alleles per person
- Do Lohmueller et al's results imply a difference in load?



How do these ideas impact association tests?



Uricchio 2014 (UCSF dissertation); Uricchio et al 2016 (Genome Research)

So, do rare alleles make substantial contributions to complex trait genetic variance?

- Several papers suggest that many human traits driven by common alleles (including risk for diabetes and autism)
- Possible minor role in human height
- Ongoing work at UCSF on RNA expression suggests rare alleles are a major contributor

Gaugler et al 2014 (Nature Genetics), Lohmueller et al 2013 (AJHG); Yang et al 2015 (Nature Genetics); Fuchsberger et al 2016 (Nature)

My email: uricchio@stanford.edu

Bibliography

- Henn et al 2015 (Nature Reviews Genetics) -> a review on estimating deleterious allele load in human populations
- Pritchard 2001 (AJHG) -> shows that rare alleles can matter for complex traits in some parametric models
- Boyko et al 2008 (Plos Genetics) -> a paper that estimates the strength of selection on human nonsynonymous sites
- Lohmueller et al 2008 (Nature) -> argues that Europeans carry proportionally more deleterious variation than Africans
- Simons et al 2014 (Nature Genetics) -> a paper that argues that deleterious allele load is not sensitive to demographic effects
- □ Szpiech et al 2014 (AJHG) -> shows an enrichment in deleterious alleles in ROH in humans
- Henn et al 2016 (PNAS) -> a paper that argues that deleterious allele load increases with distance from Africa
- Gao et al 2015 (Genetics) -> argues that recessive lethal mutations in humans are rarer than previously appreciated
- Lohmueller 2014 (Plos Genetics) -> shows how evolutionary events impact diseases association studies
- Uricchio et al 2016 (Genome Research) -> Uses evolutionary models to argue that association tests may be severely underpowered to discover rare causal variation